Client Alert



Privacy & Data Protection

May 1, 2009

FTC Announces Extension of Identity Theft Red Flag Rule Enforcement Deadline to August 1, 2009

by Catherine Meyer, John Nicholson and Meighan O'Reardon

*On January 1, 2008, six federal agencies*¹ *issued final Rules on* Identity Theft Red Flags and Address Discrepancies Under the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions (FACT) Act of 2003.² *The Rules implement § 114 and § 315 of the FACT Act, which specifically call for "establishment of procedures for the identification of possible instances of identity theft" and "reconciling addresses."*³ *Guidelines and supplemental information were released to assist entities who were originally required to comply by November 1, 2008. Companies under FTC jurisdiction must now comply by August 1, 2009.*

Many companies and industry groups have struggled with the question of whether or not they are required to comply with this Rule. The FTC extended the enforcement deadline from November 1, 2008, to May 1, 2009, to provide additional time for companies under its jurisdiction to achieve compliance. On April 30, 2009, the FTC further extended the enforcement deadline to August 1, 2009.

"Given the ongoing debate about whether Congress wrote this provision too broadly, delaying enforcement of the Red Flags Rule will allow industries and associations to share guidance with their members, provide low-risk entities an opportunity to use the template in developing their programs, and give Congress time to consider the issue further," FTC Chairman Jon Leibowitz is quoted as saying in the FTC press release issued April 30, 2009.

The FTC has responded to the uncertainties expressed by businesses by offering additional guidance in the form of an alert which can be found at <u>http://www.ftc.gov/bcp/edu/pubs/business/alerts/alt050.shtm</u>.

2

ld.

1

³ Pub.L. 108-159 §§ 114, 315 (2003).

The Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the National Credit Union Administration, the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Treasury's Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and Office of Thrift Supervision (the "Agencies").

According to the April 30, 2009 announcement, the FTC will also be providing a template Identity Theft Prevention Plan in the near future to assist entities that have a low risk of identity theft, such as businesses that know their customers personally. Once developed, the template will be available on the FTC's Red Flag website: <u>http://www.ftc.gov/redflagsrule</u>.

The Required Identity Theft Prevention Program

The Identity Theft Red Flag Rule applies to financial institutions and creditors and calls for them to develop and implement a written "Identity Theft Prevention Program" to detect, prevent and mitigate identity theft in connection with certain "covered accounts." The Rule also requires credit and debit card issuers to assess the validity of notifications of changes of address in conjunction with a request for a new card, and any user of consumer credit reports to implement reasonable policies and procedures when a consumer reporting agency sends a notice of address discrepancy.⁴

The question receiving most attention from industry has been whether a company has "covered accounts." According to the Rule's definition, such accounts primarily include personal accounts designed to permit multiple payments or transactions (*e.g.*, credit card accounts, mortgages, loans, etc.) and any account for which there is a foreseeable risk to customers or to the safety and soundness of the financial institution or creditor from identity theft. Thus, although the Rule focuses on accounts held primarily for personal, household or family purposes, it also includes some business accounts where there is a risk of identity theft.

Because of the breadth of this definition, a wide variety of companies find themselves subject to the Rule. Businesses extending credit to customers to buy goods on payment terms offered by the business likely have "covered accounts." Utilities or mobile telephone services are included in this Rule because they provide services which are billed monthly in arrears. But the Rule also encompasses other entities such as restaurants which offer "house accounts" under which a prominent patron may dine and be billed monthly. Likewise, a country club permitting meals, activities or accommodations to be charged to membership accounts which are then billed monthly would be subject to this Rule.

The written Identity Theft Prevention Program ("Program") must be designed to "detect, prevent, and mitigate identity theft" in connection with those "covered accounts." Each entity's Program must be able to detect patterns, practices and certain "red flag" activities that could signal possible identity theft.⁵ Programs must include "reasonable policies and procedures" to: (1) identify red flag activities for covered accounts and incorporate any newly identified red flag activities into the Program; (2) detect red flag activities; (3) respond to red flag activities that have been detected; and (4) update the Program periodically to incorporate new risks. Each Program must be dynamic and tailored to the scope and complexity of the company's particular business as well as to its past experience with and risk of identity theft.

The Rule requires approval of the Program by the Board of Directors or an appropriate committee of the board, oversight of service providers who deal with covered accounts and appropriate training. Annual reports to the Board or senior management and periodic (but at least annual) review of the red flags and the Program are also mandated.

4

- See Federal Register, Vol. 72, No. 217, Friday November 9, 2007, at 63718.
- The guideline supplement includes an illustrative list of twenty-six different types of red flags that financial institutions and creditors may consider incorporating into their Program.

Program Implementation – It's Not too Late

For companies who are in the process of developing their Programs, the extended enforcement date offers a bit of breathing room. For companies who have not started compliance efforts, there is still time to put a Program into place by the new August 1, 2009 deadline. The necessary activities will vary for each organization and will depend in large part on the organization's existing fraud detection and compliance programs and experience with identity theft. The new Rule is broad and may overlap with existing programs and practices, which can be incorporated by reference into the Program as appropriate. This reduces the need to duplicate existing policies and procedures. Companies of all sizes should assemble an interdisciplinary team of individuals to develop the Program. Expertise from the organization's business team, legal and compliance department, information technology group, fraud specialists, as well as other offices with identify theft experience, will be necessary.

For assistance with regard to data security policies and procedures or for further information, please contact:

Deborah S. Thoren-Peden Los Angeles +1.213.488.7320 deborah.thorenpeden@pillsburylaw.com

John L. Nicholson Washington, DC +1.202.633.8269 john.nicholson@pillsburylaw.com Catherine D. Meyer Los Angeles +1.213.488.7362 catherine.meyer@pillsburylaw.com

This publication is issued periodically to keep Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP clients and other interested parties informed of current legal developments that may affect or otherwise be of interest to them. The comments contained herein do not constitute legal opinion and should not be regarded as a substitute for legal advice. © 2009 Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP. All Rights Reserved.