THE JOURNAL OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTION

Editor's Note: The Hurricane Hitting Federal Agencies

Victoria Prussen Spears

Rescission of the Department of Homeland Security Protected Areas Policy: Implications for Healthcare Systems

Nora Katz, Beth Neal Pitman, and Colbey B. Reagan

Federal Reserve and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Withdraw from the Network for Greening the Financial System

Matthew Bisanz and Jeffrey P. Taft

Attorney General Bondi Refocuses Justice Department Priorities in New Guidance Documents

Ralph J. Caccia, Stephen J. Obermeier, Tessa Capeloto, Vesna K. Harasic-Yaksic, Tatiana Sainati, Mark B. Sweet, Roderick L. Thomas, Nick Peterson, Corey J. Hauser, and Grace Moore

The Trump Administration Takes Aim at the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Isabelle De Smedt and Albert Stieglitz

President Trump's First Week in Office Was a Momentous One for Digital Assets Matthew Bisanz, Joseph A. Castelluccio, Kimberly Hamm, Andrew Olmem, and Jennifer Zepralka

Trump 2.0: A New Era for the Regulation of Cryptocurrency and Digital Assets

Brian H. Montgomery, David Oliwenstein, Tony Phillips, Daniel C. Wood, Adam Goldberg, and Brianna Larissa Ramos

Déjà Vu All Over Again: Trump's Tariffs and Their Impact on Government Contractors *J. Alex Ward and Sandeep N. Nandivada*

Treasury Department Finalizes U.S. Outbound Investment Rules

Nancy A. Fischer, Matthew R. Rabinowitz, Zachary C. Rozen, Samantha Franks, Erin Kwiatkowski, and Marcus J. Burden

Navigating New Security Requirements Under the Justice Department's Bulk Data Regulations: Is NIST Compliance Enough?

Kaylee Cox Bankston and Reiley Jo Porter

Department of Justice-Federal Trade Commission Antitrust Guidelines for Business Activities Affecting Workers: Key Changes and Practical Takeaways

Key Highlights of Internal Revenue Code Section 761 Final Regulations and Impact on Internal Revenue Code Section 6417 Direct Payments

Amish Shah, Bryan Marcelino, Brad M. Seltzer, Nicole M. Elliott, Elizabeth Crouse, David H. Mann, Roger David Aksamit, and Joshua David Odintz



The Journal of Federal Agency Action

Volume 3, No. 3 | May-June 2025

155	Editor's Note: The Hurricane Hitting Federal Agend	cies
	Victoria Prussen Spears	

- 159 Rescission of the Department of Homeland Security Protected Areas Policy: Implications for Healthcare Systems
 Nora Katz, Beth Neal Pitman, and Colbey B. Reagan
- 165 Federal Reserve and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
 Withdraw from the Network for Greening the Financial System
 Matthew Bisanz and Jeffrey P. Taft
- 169 Attorney General Bondi Refocuses Justice Department Priorities in New Guidance Documents

Ralph J. Caccia, Stephen J. Obermeier, Tessa Capeloto, Vesna K. Harasic-Yaksic, Tatiana Sainati, Mark B. Sweet, Roderick L. Thomas, Nick Peterson, Corey J. Hauser, and Grace Moore

175 The Trump Administration Takes Aim at the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act

Isabelle De Smedt and Albert Stieglitz

183 President Trump's First Week in Office Was a Momentous One for Digital Assets

Matthew Bisanz, Joseph A. Castelluccio, Kimberly Hamm, Andrew Olmem, and Jennifer Zepralka

191 Trump 2.0: A New Era for the Regulation of Cryptocurrency and Digital Assets

Brian H. Montgomery, David Oliwenstein, Tony Phillips, Daniel C. Wood, Adam Goldberg, and Brianna Larissa Ramos

199 Déjà Vu All Over Again: Trump's Tariffs and Their Impact on Government Contractors

J. Alex Ward and Sandeep N. Nandivada

- 207 Treasury Department Finalizes U.S. Outbound Investment Rules Nancy A. Fischer, Matthew R. Rabinowitz, Zachary C. Rozen, Samantha Franks, Erin Kwiatkowski, and Marcus J. Burden
- 213 Navigating New Security Requirements Under the Justice Department's Bulk Data Regulations: Is NIST Compliance Enough? Kaylee Cox Bankston and Reiley Jo Porter

219 Department of Justice-Federal Trade Commission Antitrust Guidelines for Business Activities Affecting Workers: Key Changes and Practical Takeaways

Mark L. Krotoski, Michael L. Sibarium, and Preston Taylor Edmondson

225 Key Highlights of Internal Revenue Code Section 761 Final Regulations and Impact on Internal Revenue Code Section 6417 Direct Payments

Amish Shah, Bryan Marcelino, Brad M. Seltzer, Nicole M. Elliott, Elizabeth Crouse, David H. Mann, Roger David Aksamit, and Joshua David Odintz

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Steven A. Meyerowitz

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

Victoria Prussen Spears

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

Lynn E. Calkins

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP Washington, D.C.

Helaine I. Fingold

Member, Epstein Becker & Green, P.C. Baltimore

Nancy A. Fischer

Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP Washington, D.C.

Bethany J. Hills

Partner, DLA Piper LLP (US) New York

Phil Lookadoo

Partner, Haynes and Boone, LLP Washington, D.C.

Michelle A. Mantine

Partner, Reed Smith LLP Pittsburgh

Ryan J. Strasser

Partner, Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP Richmond & Washington, D.C.

THE JOURNAL OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTION (ISSN 2834-8818 (online)) at \$495.00 annually is published six times per year by Full Court Press, a Fastcase, Inc., imprint. Copyright 2025 Fastcase, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner.

For customer support, please contact Fastcase, Inc., 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005, 202.999.4777 (phone), or email customer service at support@fastcase.com.

Publishing Staff

Publisher: Leanne Battle

Production Editor: Sharon D. Ray

Cover Art Design: Morgan Morrissette Wright and Sharon D. Ray

This journal's cover includes a photo of Washington D.C.'s Metro Center underground station. The Metro's distinctive coffered and vaulted ceilings were designed by Harry Weese in 1969. They are one of the United States' most iconic examples of the brutalist design style often associated with federal administrative buildings. The photographer is by XH_S on Unsplash, used with permission.

Cite this publication as:

The Journal of Federal Agency Action (Fastcase)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Copyright © 2025 Full Court Press, an imprint of Fastcase, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

A Full Court Press, Fastcase, Inc., Publication

Editorial Office

729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005 https://www.fastcase.com/

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE JOURNAL OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTION, 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005.

Articles and Submissions

Direct editorial inquiries and send material for publication to:

Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541.

Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, corporate compliance officers, government agencies and their counsel, senior business executives, and anyone interested in federal agency actions.

This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact:

Leanne Battle, Publisher, Full Court Press at leanne.battle@vlex.com or at 866.773.2782

For questions or Sales and Customer Service:

Customer Service Available 8 a.m.–8 p.m. Eastern Time 866.773.2782 (phone) support@fastcase.com (email)

Sales 202.999.4777 (phone) sales@fastcase.com (email)

ISSN 2834-8796 (print) ISSN 2834-8818 (online)

Treasury Department Finalizes U.S. Outbound Investment Rules

Nancy A. Fischer, Matthew R. Rabinowitz, Zachary C. Rozen, Samantha Franks, Erin Kwiatkowski, and Marcus J. Burden*

The U.S. Department of Treasury has issued the long-awaited final rule implementing its outbound investment review framework. The final rule formalizes a new governmental system to monitor through a notification process and, when necessary, restrict investments in China that may be viewed as a national security risk. The authors of this article discuss the Final Rule.

The United States Department of the Treasury has issued the long-awaited Final Rule¹ implementing its outbound investment review framework. This follows prior stages of rulemaking, including an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in August 2023 and a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in June 2024, and formalizes a new governmental system to monitor and, when necessary, restrict investments in China that may be viewed as a national security risk.

The Final Rule became effective January 2, 2025. As expected, the Final Rule establishes a system in which (1) U.S. persons will be required to notify the Treasury Department of certain investments in identified sectors of countries of concern (currently China, Hong Kong, and Macau), and (2) certain investments in these same sectors that pose a particularly acute threat to national security will be prohibited.

The Final Rule is largely consistent with the proposed rule, though it contains several key elaborations and updates to the earlier version. Among these updates, the Final Rule narrows the scope of U.S. persons who may "knowingly direct" prohibited transactions, focusing on those with executive responsibilities like officers and directors, excluding senior advisors. Additionally, it establishes a comprehensive approach to the knowledge standard for U.S. persons involved in covered transactions.

Prohibited and Notifiable Transactions

The Final Rule establishes the following notification and prohibition requirements, which are largely in line with those outlined in the NPRM.

The Final Rule also prohibits transactions with any entity engaged in "covered activities" (even those only triggering a notification) if it is listed on specific national security and sanctions lists, including the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) Entity List and Military End User List, the Treasury Department's Specially Designated Nationals (SDN) and Non-SDN Chinese Military Industrial Complex Companies Lists, and "Military Intelligence End-Users" as defined by BIS.

The Final Rule includes an exemption from both notification and prohibition requirements for certain transactions involving the development of artificial intelligence (AI) systems. According to Note 3 in sections 850.217 and 850.224 of the Final Rule, customizing, configuring, or fine-tuning a third-party AI model or machine-based system strictly for internal, non-commercial purposes will not trigger notification or prohibition requirements. This exemption applies as long as these activities are not intended for government intelligence, mass surveillance, military use, digital forensics, penetration testing, or robotic control systems. Treasury has not yet defined the exact boundaries of "internal, non-commercial use."

Definition of Covered Foreign Persons

The Final Rule applies to transactions by a U.S. person with a "covered foreign person" from a "country of concern" involved in "covered activities." The Final Rule defines a "covered foreign person" as an entity involved in one of three sets of circumstances:

- 1. Engagement in "Covered Activities" in a "Country of Concern." A person or entity is classified as a "covered foreign person" if it is a "person of a country of concern" and engages in a "covered activity" (e.g., certain AI, supercomputer, quantum computing, and semiconductor activities).
- 2. Financial or Governance Relationship with a Covered Entity. A person or entity is considered a "covered foreign person" if:

- It directly or indirectly holds a board seat on, a nonexcepted voting or equity interest in, or any contractual power to direct or cause the direction of the management or policies of a "covered foreign person"; and
- More than 50 percent of the relevant person's revenue, net income, capital expenditures, or operating expenses come from its relationship with the covered foreign person.
- 3. Joint Ventures Involving Covered Foreign Persons. If a person or entity from a country of concern participates in a joint venture with a U.S. person, and that venture is engaged in a covered activity, the foreign participant is classified as a covered foreign person.

A "person of a country of concern" is:

- A citizen or permanent resident of a country of concern (excluding U.S. citizens and permanent residents);
- An entity with a principal place of business in, headquartered in, incorporated in, or otherwise organized under the laws of a country of concern;
- The government of a country of concern and its political subdivisions; or
- An entity in which a "person of a country of concern," individually or in the aggregate, directly or indirectly, holds at least 50 percent of the outstanding voting interest, voting power of the board, or equity interest.

Covered Transactions

The Final Rule defines "covered transactions" to include a U.S. person's direct or indirect involvement in the following categories of transactions:

- Equity and Contingent Equity Interests. This includes direct acquisitions of equity in a covered foreign person, as well as convertible debt or other contingent interests that may eventually become equity.
- Debt Financing with Equity-Like Characteristics. Debt financing is covered if it grants the lender governance rights typical of equity investments, such as the right

- to appoint board members or access profits, rather than standard loan terms.
- Greenfield and Brownfield Investments. Establishing new operations (greenfield) or expanding existing ones (brownfield) in a country of concern is covered if the U.S. person knows or plans that these activities will lead to engagement in a covered activity.
- *Joint Ventures*. Any joint venture between a U.S. person and a foreign person from a country of concern is covered if the venture engages in or is expected to engage in a covered activity.
- Limited Partnership (LP) Investments. U.S. persons investing as limited partners in non-U.S. pooled funds are covered if these funds invest in covered foreign persons and the U.S. person knows at the time of the investment that the fund will likely invest in a person of a country of concern in the semiconductor, microelectronics, quantum information technologies, or AI sectors.

However, some LP investments may be excepted from coverage if certain conditions, like investment caps or binding assurances, are met. LP investments are exempt from regulation, provided they meet one of the following criteria:

- *Size Threshold.* LP investments that are \$2 million or less are generally exempt.
- Contractual Safeguards. An investment above \$2 million can still be exempt if the LP receives a binding contractual commitment that its funds will not be used for prohibited or notifiable activities.
- Standard Minority Rights Only. LPs are exempt if they possess only standard minority investor protections without additional rights that influence management or investment decisions.

Treasury notes that this approach aims to support passive, lowrisk LP investments by clarifying that only those investments with a potential for influence or financial scale that could impact national security are restricted. Treasury believes this balance allows for regulatory oversight of high-risk transactions while easing compliance for low-influence LPs in broader pooled funds.

Knowingly Direct

U.S. persons are prohibited from knowingly directing transactions by non-U.S. persons that the U.S. person knows at the time would be a prohibited transaction if engaged in by a U.S. person. A U.S. person knowingly directs a transaction when they (1) have authority to make or substantially participate in decisions on behalf of a non-U.S. person entity, and (2) exercise that authority to direct, order, decide on, or approve a transaction that would be a prohibited transaction if engaged in by a U.S. person. However, the Final Rule states that if a U.S. person recuses themselves from certain activities (e.g., formal approval, decision-making processes, reviewing or approving transactional documents, or engaging in negotiations) they will not be considered to have exercised their authority to direct, order, decide on, or approve a transaction.

Knowledge Standard

Importantly, the Final Rules holds that certain provisions, including the provision that defines covered transactions, will apply only if a U.S. person knows relevant facts or circumstances at the time of a transaction. Knowledge is defined as having actual knowledge that a fact or circumstance exists or is substantially certain to occur, an awareness of a high probability of a fact or circumstance's existence or future occurrence, or reason to know of a fact or circumstance's existence.

This means that U.S. persons must know, or having reason to know, at the time of a transaction that it involves a covered foreign person, will result or is planned to result in the establishment of a covered foreign person, or will result or is planned to result in a person of a country of concern's engagement in a covered activity. To meet this knowledge standard, U.S. persons are expected to perform a "reasonable and diligent inquiry" about the transaction that is commensurate with the risk of the transaction. This due diligence includes efforts to gather relevant information and seek contractual assurances. Treasury indicates in the Final Rule that it plans to provide further guidance on applying the knowledge standard.

If a U.S. person after the completion date of a transaction gains actual knowledge that the transaction would have been a covered

transaction at the time of the completion date, they must, within 30 calendar days, submit a notification to the Treasury under § 850.403. This retroactive knowledge requirement is limited to actual knowledge.

Looking Forward

The Final Rule establishes significant new parameters for U.S. investments in sensitive technologies within countries of concern, effective as of January 2, 2025. U.S. companies investing in semiconductors, supercomputing, quantum computing, and AI industries in China should be aware of the outbound investment requirements and restrictions in the Final Rule and establish diligent compliance practices.

Notes

- * Nancy A. Fischer, Matthew R. Rabinowitz, Zachary C. Rozen, Samantha Franks, and Erin Kwiatkowski are attorneys at Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP. Marcus J. Burden is a senior law clerk at the firm. The authors may be contacted at nancy.fischer@pillsburylaw.com, matthew.rabinowitz@pillsburylaw.com, zachary.rozen@pillsburylaw.com, samantha.franks@pillsburylaw.com, erin.kwiatkowski@pillsburylaw.com, marcus.burden@pillsburylaw.com, respectively.
- $1. \ https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/206/TreasuryDepartmentOut boundInvestmentFinalRuleWEBSITEVERSION_0.pdf.$