AN A.S. PRATT PUBLICATION FEBRUARY 2018 VOL. 4 • NO. 2

BRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT



EDITOR'S NOTE: THE NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT Victoria Prussen Spears

THE NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2018 Eric S. Crusius, David S. Black, Robert K. Tompkins, Terry L. Elling, and Rodney M. Perry

WHAT CONTRACTORS NEED TO KNOW ABOUT THE 2018 NDAA Daniel E. Chudd, Catherine L. Chapple, and Locke Bell

CHANGES TO SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT AND COMMERCIAL ITEM CONTRACTING IN FY 2018 NDAA Michael R. Rizzo and Alex D. Tomaszczuk CHANGES TO AUDIT AND TRUTH IN NEGOTIATIONS ACT IN FY 2018 NDAA Kevin J. Slattum and James J. Gallagher

FALSE CLAIMS ACT RECOVERIES TOTALED MORE THAN \$3.7 BILLION IN FISCAL YEAR 2017 Victoria Prussen Spears

IN THE COURTS Steven A. Meyerowitz

PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

VOLUME 4	NUMBER 2	FEBRUARY 2018
Editor's Note: The Nat Victoria Prussen Spears	ional Defense Authorization	Act 35
	Authorization Act of 2018 B. Black, Robert K. Tompkins,	Terry L. Elling, 37
	d to Know About the 2018 N rine L. Chapple, and Locke Be	
Changes to Supply Cha Contracting in FY 2018 Michael R. Rizzo and A		ercial Item 53
Changes to Audit and ' NDAA Kevin J. Slattum and Jan	Truth in Negotiations Act in T	FY 2018 55
False Claims Act Recov Fiscal Year 2017 Victoria Prussen Spears	veries Totaled More Than \$3.	.7 Billion in 58
In the Courts Steven A. Meyerowitz		64



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or replease call: Heidi A. Littman at	. 516-771-2169 @lexisnexis.com		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(973) 820-2000		
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:			
Customer Services Department at	(800) 833-9844		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(518) 487-3385		
Fax Number	(800) 828-8341		
Customer Service Website http://www.lexisnexis.com/cu			
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call			
Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(937) 247-0293		

Library of Congress Card Number:

ISBN: 978-1-6328-2705-0 (print)

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Michelle E. Litteken, GAO Holds NASA Exceeded Its Discretion in Protest of FSS Task Order, 1 PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT 30 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. A.S. Pratt is a registered trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license.

Copyright © 2018 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., or Reed Elsevier Properties SA, in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

An A.S. Pratt® Publication

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS MARY BETH BOSCO

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

DARWIN A. HINDMAN III Shareholder, Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

> **J. ANDREW HOWARD** Partner, Alston & Bird LLP

KYLE R. JEFCOAT Counsel, Latham & Watkins LLP

JOHN E. JENSEN Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

> **DISMAS LOCARIA** Partner, Venable LLP

MARCIA G. MADSEN Partner, Mayer Brown LLP

KEVIN P. MULLEN Partner, Morrison & Foerster LLP

VINCENT J. NAPOLEON *Partner, Nixon Peabody LLP*

STUART W. TURNER Counsel, Arnold & Porter LLP

WALTER A.I. WILSON Senior Partner, Polsinelli PC

PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT is published twelve times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright 2018 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form-by microfilm xerography, or otherwise-or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report, please access www.copyright.com or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. CCC is a not-for-profi organization that provides licenses and registration for a variety of users. For subscription information and customer service, call 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, New 11005. smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, Floral Park, York 718.224.2258. Material for publication is welcomed-articles, decisions, or other items of interest to government contractors, attorneys and law firms in-house counsel, government lawyers, and senior business executives. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflec only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflec those of the firm or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firm or organizations, or the editors or publisher. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 630 Central Avenue, New Providence, NJ 07974.

Changes to Supply Chain Management and Commercial Item Contracting in FY 2018 NDAA

By Michael R. Rizzo and Alex D. Tomaszczuk*

For Department of Defense acquisitions, the Fiscal Year 2018 National Defense Authorization Act ("NDAA") includes provisions that simplify and others that complicate contractor responsibilities. This article discusses the NDAA's supply chain diligence requirements, changes to the definition of a "subcontractor," the expanded definition of a commercial item, and the e-commerce portal.

The 2018 National Defense Authorization Act ("NDAA") for Fiscal Year 2018 signed by President Trump includes changes to supply chain management, to the definition of a "subcontractor," and to commercial item contracting that may impact your business.

SUPPLY CHAIN SCRUTINY

The NDAA includes enhanced scrutiny of government contract supply chains in order to identify and ferret out threats to national security. According to Section 807 of the NDAA, within 90 days after enactment of the provision, the Department of Defense ("DOD") must "establish a process for enhancing scrutiny of acquisition decisions in order to improve the integration of supply chain management into the overall acquisition decision cycle. This process has to include tools to support commercial due diligence and intelligence; risk profiles of products or services; education and training of the acquisition work force; and periodic "assessment of software products and services on computer networks of the Department of Defense." Further, the Department of Defense must "develop Government-wide strategies for dealing with significant entities determined to be significant threats to the United States, and effectively use authorities in other departments and agencies to provide consistent, Governmentwide approaches to supply chain threats."

^{*} Michael R. Rizzo, a partner at Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP and the co-leader of the firm's Government Contracts & Disputes practice, represents defense contractors, design/ build firms, transportation and technology companies in contracting matters. Alex D. To-maszczuk is a partner in the firm's Government Contracts & Disputes practice litigating government contract claims and bid protests, and handling internal investigations related to a range of compliance issues. The authors may be reached at michael.rizzo@pillsburylaw.com and alex.tomaszczuk@pillsburylaw.com, respectively.

These provisions demonstrate that the DOD is becoming increasingly concerned about contractors and *subcontractors* that may pose security, cybersecurity or other threats to the United States. Accordingly, contractors should expect regulations in the near future that place a burden upon them to better vet their supply chain for such risks.

CHANGED DEFINITION OF A "SUBCONTRACT"

In Section 820, a change was made to 41 U.S.C. § 1906 (which lists the laws inapplicable to procurements of commercial items). The NDAA adds this language to the definition of a subcontract: "does not include agreements entered into by a contractor for the supply of commodities that are intended for use in the performance of multiple contracts with the Federal Government and other parties and are not identifiable to any particular contract." This statute applies to the Federal Acquisition Regulation, so this change will impact both DOD and civilian contracts.

This means that a contractor's agreement with a vendor to buy, for example, "commodities" such as ball bearings or gaskets to fulfill requirements on more than one government contract *and* on one or more commercial contracts would not be a "subcontract" and, therefore, would not be subject to flow-down requirements.

PROPOSED COMMERCIAL ITEM CONTRACTING CHANGES

Section 846 of the NDAA establishes a program to procure commercial products through commercial e-commerce portals to enhance competition, expedite procurement, enable market research and ensure reasonable pricing. This program occurs over three phases; that executive agencies make purchases via the e-commerce portal beneath the simplified acquisition threshold; and that such purchases utilize standard terms and conditions to be developed.

The NDAA adds language to 41 U.S.C. § 103 to clarify that nondevelopmental products or services developed at a private expense and sold competitively in "substantial quantities" to "multiple foreign governments" also qualify as "commercial items." This language broadens the definition of what constitutes a commercial item, perhaps opening up the United States commercial item market to foreign vendors that regularly sell non-developmental items to foreign governments.