

2022
Volume 4, Issue 1



Institute for Transnational Arbitration
ITA IN REVIEW

ITA IN REVIEW

The Journal of the Institute for Transnational Arbitration



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLES

DETERMINING QUESTIONS OF ARBITRABILITY: WHERE DO WE STAND AFTER SCHEIN?	<i>William W. Russell</i>	1
PARTY AUTONOMY AND ARBITRATOR’S LACK OF REASONING BRING DOWN SUBWAY AWARD	<i>Sean C. Sheely</i> <i>Arantxa Cuadrado</i>	17
THE SULTAN OF SULU AWARD: IS IT ENFORCEABLE IN THE US UNDER THE NEW YORK CONVENTION?	<i>Gary J. Shaw &</i> <i>Rafael T. Boza</i>	23

BOOK REVIEW

INTERNATIONAL ENERGY INVESTMENT LAW: THE PURSUIT OF STABILITY (2ND ED.) BY PETER CAMERON	<i>Elizabeth J. Dye</i>	43
--	-------------------------	----

ITA CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS

ETHICALLY CHALLENGED? A COMMENTARY	<i>Francis Ojok</i>	55
“BODYGUARDS” OR “POLICE,” WHO CAN ESCORT US TO A MORE SUSTAINABLE FUTURE? A DEEP DIVE INTO “ELITE EIGHT” IN 2021 INTERNATIONAL ENERGY ARBITRATION	<i>Lawrence (Yichu) Yuan</i>	65
THE SALEM TRIALS REDUX? PERU & ARBITRATOR’S MISCONDUCT: A COMMENTARY ON THE FERNANDO CANTUARIAS CASE	<i>Paula J. Tellez</i>	92
REFLECTIONS FROM THE “PRESERVING PERSPECTIVE PROJECT” INTERVIEW WITH JUDGE GABRIELLE KIRK McDONALD	<i>Jessica Sblendorio</i>	104

YOUNG ITA

“DA MIHI FACTUM, DABO TIBI IUS”	<i>Viktor Előd Cserép</i>	110
---------------------------------	---------------------------	-----

FACT FINDING AND IURA NOVIT CURIA IN
ARBITRATION: HOW FAR DO ARBITRATORS'
POWERS REACH?



ITA IN REVIEW

BOARD OF EDITORS

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Rafael T. Boza
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP,
Houston

Charles (Chip) B. Rosenberg
King & Spalding L.L.P., Washington, D.C.

MEDIA EDITOR

Whitley Tiller
EVOKE Legal, Washington D.C.

EXECUTIVE EDITORS

Enrique A. Jaramillo
Locke Lord, LLP, Houston

Albina Gasanbekova
Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp L.L.P.,
Washington, D.C.

CONTENT EDITORS

Thomas W. Davis
Case Manager & Arbitral Secretary,
Arbitration Place, Cleveland

Menalco J. Solis
White & Case L.L.P., Paris

ASSISTANT EDITORS

TJ Auner
Holland & Knight LLP, Dallas

Julie Bloch
B. Cremades & Asociados, Madrid

Emma Bohman-Bryant
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP,
London

Matthew J. Brown
Houthoff Coöperatief U.A., New York

Jose Angelo (Anjo) David
GST, LLP, Washington, D.C.

Rinat Gareev
Russian Arbitration Centre, Moscow

J. Brian Johns
US Federal Judiciary, Washington, D.C.

Naimeh Masumy
Arbitration Center of Iran Chamber
(ACIC), Tehran

Raúl Pereira Fleury
Ferrere Abogados, Paraguay

Julia Sherman
Three Crowns, Washington, D.C.

Raquel Martinez Sloan
White & Case L.L.P., Washington, D.C.

Pem Tshering
Sidley Austin LLP, Singapore

ITA in Review
is
a Publication of the
Institute for Transnational Arbitration
a Division of the
Center for American and International Law
5201 Democracy Drive
Plano, TX 75024-3561

© 2022 - All Rights Reserved.

BOOK REVIEW

INTERNATIONAL ENERGY INVESTMENT LAW: THE PURSUIT OF STABILITY (2ND ED.)

BY PETER CAMERON

Reviewed by Elizabeth J. Dye

I. INTRODUCTION

The second edition of Professor Peter D. Cameron’s “International Energy Investment Law: The Pursuit of Stability” maintains the first edition’s focus on the role that stability plays in international energy investment law but addresses issues in international investment law from the perspective of the states that give their consent to follow the rule of international investment law and discusses investment issues relating to non-fossil fuel sources of energy. It also gives thoughtful consideration to emerging energy sectors, including renewables, as well as non-investment laws, such as environmental laws and human rights, that are beginning to shape energy investment law landscape in new yet familiar ways. The book provides a comprehensive overview of the goals of stability in energy investment law, suggests how to implement these goals together with the challenges that may arise in the process, and poses thoughtful questions and answers to the role that stability is likely to play in the future of international investment law. The following summarizes the three main parts of the book, which are further divided into chapters (12 total), and the book also includes appendices, a select bibliography, and an index.

II. THE BOOK

A. Part I.

Part I examines the backdrop to the development of the extensive body of law that comprises international energy investment law and how there are “six characteristics of energy investments” that effect the law’s development, including that energy investments are international, large scale, longer in duration than most, demonstrate a pervasive state presence, operate in a context of price volatility, and have a degree of complexity. Dr. Cameron adds two contextual features: the transformational potential that such investments pose in economic and social terms and the legacy of



investment history. Dr. Cameron explores how these features requires both states and investors to focus their efforts and attentions on the development and promotion of a stable legal framework for investments—and necessarily build in flexibility mechanisms to respond to volatility in the worldwide energy market to policy changes implemented by host states.

1. Chapter 1. Energy Investment Law.

Given the volume of energy investment worldwide (estimated to be \$1.8 trillion annually), Professor Cameron elaborates on the aforementioned six characteristics and two contextual features of international investment. Chapter 1 also provides an overview of the aims, approach, scope, and structure of this book.

2. Chapter 2. States, Investors, and Energy Agreements.

This subpart examines the investment triangle (or “three basic elements of any investment relationship”)—the relations between the host state, the investors, and the investment, as well as energy governance, which he describes as the “glue” that makes the main elements stick together. In discussing host states, he focuses on the states’ multifaceted role, on the one hand as umpire or regulator, and on the other as participant and commercial partner. In discussing investors, he focuses on issues surrounding the notion of “investor” in a globalized economy, especially as it relates to different types of investors, and advances a unique typology to classify investors. With regards to the third element, the investment, he focuses on the debate among arbitral tribunals over what constitutes an “investment,” and the modern-day challenge of interpreting and applying decades-old treaties in the context of now common yet increasingly complex investments in the energy sector. “Energy governance” involves energy contracts, the host state’s sovereignty over energy, and investor-state arbitration, which all work together (in theory) to allow for peaceful ways of settling disputes among the parties.

This chapter concludes with an overview of the main investment agreement in six energy sub-sectors, including hydrocarbons, natural gas, electricity and renewable energy, coal and energy-related mining, unconventional energy (i.e., hydrocarbons produced from shale rock or oilsands), and nuclear energy.



3. Chapter 3. Stability Based on Contract.

The focus of this chapter is the mitigation of political risk in an energy investment contract through the use of stabilization clauses, which Professor Cameron defines as a contractual assurance of negotiated terms against future legal or regulatory changes. He walks through the interplay between investment stability guaranteed in a contract and other legal instruments, including host state legislation, international treaties, and international law as the governing law of the contract. In doing so, the book examines the four principal kinds of stabilization clauses available to investors, including both the freezing kind of clause and the more flexible variety, variously called a balancing, equilibrium, or adaptation clause. This chapter also examines the issues surrounding renegotiation under balancing clauses, and the practical enforceability of stabilization clauses before international tribunals. The chapter ends by examining the legal foundations of two international pipeline projects and the ways in which they provide for long-term stabilization.

4. Chapter 4. The Classic Tests of Contract-Based Stability.

This chapter examines twelve well-known, early investment cases, including *Aramco*,¹ *Sapphire*,² and the *Libyan*³ cases to highlight examples of expropriations that took place in the 1960s, 1970s, and 1980s. The author describes the arbitral awards that arose from these disputes as the “classic tests” of the validity, scope, and function of contractual stabilization in international energy arrangements. Interestingly, Professor Cameron points out that despite the awards’ association with an approach to stabilize long-terms contracts, the contracts at issue in these cases failed to provide investors with the level of security they had hoped for when they negotiated their contracts.

5. Chapter 5. Stability Based on Treaty

Chapter 5 reviews the features of the investment treaty regime that are most relevant to energy investment law and its goal of providing investors with the kind of

¹ Saudi Arabia v. Arabian American Oil Company (ARAMCO), Award (Aug. 23, 1958), 27 I.L.R. 117 (1963).

² Sapphire Int’l Petroleum Ltd. v. Nat’l Iranian Oil Co., Award (Mar. 15, 1963), 35 I.L.R. 136 (1963).

³ Libyan Am. Oil Co. (“LIAMCO”) v. Libya, Award (Apr. 12, 1977), 17 I.L.M. 3 (1978), 4 Y.B. COM. ARB. 177 (1979).



long-term stability that is emblematic of energy investments. The author reminds us that the investment treaty system has been established incrementally by states themselves, and not private investors, and examines the history behind the explosive growth of international investments agreements, including on the one hand the need for an enforcement regime for investors to revert to when disputes between themselves and states arise, and on the other hand the perception that political risk was higher-than-average for foreign investors and the view that this perception would inhibit the flows of foreign investment into developing countries and emerging market economies.

The chapter next examines general treaty standards most relevant to the notion of stability in international investment: the Fair and Equitable Treatment (FET) standard and the related meaning of “legitimate expectations,” the standard of full protection and security, and the notion of an umbrella clause. Next, Professor Cameron discusses the impact of the Energy Charter Treaty (ECT)⁴ on energy investment, as well as USMCA⁵ and NAFTA Chapter 11,⁶ and ends with the outsized role that ICSID plays in investor state arbitrations.

B. *Part II.*

Part II examines the challenges to the stability framework in international energy investment through cases studies from (i) Latin America, (ii) East Europe/Central Asia, and (iii) Africa. Chapters 6, 7, 8, and 9 assess whether the investment treaty regime has fulfilled its promise of providing long-term stability to both investors and states. Finally, it examines critically several areas of public interest over which states have always found it important to retain regulatory oversight.

1. Chapter 6. Meeting Challenges to Investment Stability-Across the Energy Spectrum.

In this Chapter, the author argues that the inclusion of the FET standard in international investment treaties is the most important feature of energy

⁴ Energy Charter Treaty, 2080 U.N.T.S. 100 (Dec. 17, 1994).

⁵ United States-Mexico-Canada Trade Agreement,

⁶ North American Free Trade Agreement, 32 I.L.M. 605, Ch. 11 (Jan. 1, 1994).



investments. The author notes that tensions between states and investors arose from two broad processes in what he describes as policy formation and legal implementation: (i) the response of populist governments to rising commodity prices and (ii) the effects of liberalized policies that incentivized inward investment on a large scale. The author also contrasts the different legal guarantees that arose in the context of the hydrocarbons and electricity and gas utilities sectors, and the different expectations that investors could have with respect to each sector.

This Chapter examines five principal challenges to the stability of energy investments, and the role of international investment law in responding to them. The author identifies the challenges as (i) threats presented by regulatory acts and taxation measures, (ii) expropriation, direct and indirect, (iii) defenses for state action in emergency situations—such as the well-known ‘state of necessity’—(iv) the time and complexity of arbitral procedures in investment arbitration, and (v) the various measures introduced by governments in their efforts at lowering carbon intensity in their energy use.

Next, the Chapter discusses the fulsome and complex body of case law that has developed on the relationship between FET and legitimate expectations and the provision of a stable and predictable legal and business framework. The author determines that the case law reflects that FET protection is not equivalent to that of a stabilization clause, and an investor must navigate several challenges before receiving FET protection. Finally, the Chapter examines the ways in which tribunals ensure that investors accept their responsibilities to the host state and give a brief review of the debate on reforming the energy investment system itself.

2. Chapter 7. Latin America: Treaty and Contract Stability in the Face of Policy Realignment and Crisis

Chapter 7 examines how the legal protection of long-term energy investments has responded and fared to the variety of challenges in the Latin American setting, including the wide swings toward and then away from foreign investment in the energy and mining sectors. In particular, the author raises and then examines whether legal protections given to investors have worked when predecessor governments in Latin America sought to unilaterally amend existing contracts or the



legal and political environments in which those contracts are operational. For example, this chapter discusses the investment policies swings in Venezuela, Bolivia, Ecuador, and most notably Argentina in the face of State measures taken in response to its economic crisis in 2002-2003.

The author walks through the political context from which unilateral states' measures arose Venezuela, Bolivia, Ecuador, and Argentina, and then examines how Peru, Venezuela, and Colombia have taken their own approaches to contract-based stabilization through the use of Legal Stability Agreements. In Latin America, it has been applied to investments generally and not only to energy investments.

The author examines several case studies in Latin American countries, including the *CMS v. Argentina* case,⁷ which arose when an investor that had purchased a minority shareholding in an Argentina company that operated a gas transportation network in northern Argentina was suddenly subject to government measures taken during the economic crisis. The tribunal found that Argentina's actions had violated standards of protection in the relevant BIT but declined to hold that Argentina had expropriated the investment at issue in the arbitration. The author notes that the outcome of this case supports a view that asserting other treaty standards are more likely to result in a favorable outcome from the investor than a claim of expropriation, and notes that *Enron v. Argentina* failed on similar grounds.⁸

3. Chapter 8. Russia, Ukraine, and Central Asia: Treaty and Contract Stability in the Post-Soviet Space

Chapter 8 examines the different strategies worked out by investors and host states Russia, Ukraine, and Central Asia to provide long-term stability for energy investments, including stabilization by contract, by treaty and domestic law. The author discusses the history of energy investment and the development of both domestic and international regimes to govern energy investment in each country and region. The author next examines what he describes as the major tests of these stability mechanisms, particularly against the background of rising commodity prices

⁷ *CMS Gas Trans. Co. v. Argentina*, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8.

⁸ *Enron Corp. v. Argentina*, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3.



in the first decade of the twenty-first century in Russia, Kazakhstan, Ukraine, and Central Asia and the Caspian Sea region. Similar to Chapter 7, this Chapter then weighs the actions of the host state against the performance of contractual obligations by the investor. Next, the author considers the (modest) role gas contracting and the sale and transit of natural gas from Russia to European consumers has played in foreign investment in this region.

The Chapter next discusses the different forms of stability introduced by the ECT. The author notes that the political aims of the ECT have largely failed due to Russia's withdrawal from the treaty in 2009 and its de facto refusal to meaningfully engage up until that point, but that nevertheless the ECT's legal framework have proven quite successful in managing foreign investment claims. The author discusses earlier awards made under the ECT, including the *Plama v. Bulgaria* award⁹ in which the investor claimed that Bulgaria had interfered with the operation of an oil refinery in which Plama had purchased an equity interest. The tribunal found that Plama had acted contrary to the principle of good faith, which includes the obligation that an investor provide relevant and material information to the host state when seeking approval from an investment, and that Plama was guilty of malpresentation. The author also includes an in-depth discussion of the well-known *Yukos* cases¹⁰ and the question of whether Russia was subject to the provisional ratification of the ECT. Finally, the author considers the substantive protections given to investors in the mining sector in this region.

4. Chapter 9. Africa: Treaty and Contract Stability

This Chapter discusses the importance of large-scale foreign investment to African governments but notes that the relative goals of governments and investors in the region have traditionally been at odds: while African governments would benefit from investment in manufacturing or infrastructure development, the investors' focus has been on exporting raw materials out of Africa, leading to a lack of

⁹ *Plama Consortium Ltd. v. Rep. of Bulgaria*, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/24.

¹⁰ *Yukos Universal Ltd. (Isle of Man) v. Russ.*, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2005-04/AA227; *Yukos Capital Ltd. (formerly Yukos Capital SARL) v. Russ.*, UNCITRAL (Geneva Tribunal), PCA Case No. 2013-31.



meaningful development in host countries in Africa.

Professor Cameron discusses the evolution of energy investment in Africa, starting in the post-colonial period of the 1980s wherein several large states, including Algeria, Angola, Egypt, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria that built up their export-driven energy industries on the back of substantial foreign investment from the 1980s onwards, and the second wave of energy investment that arose in the early 2000s in Ghana and Senegal, and the East, such as Mozambique, Tanzania, and Uganda. This chapter focuses on several African states within each of these two groupings (first wave group and second wave group) and will examine how legal stability for long-term, often complex energy investments has been tested, and with what results in terms of preserving (or ending) the relationship between host state and investor. In particular, the author points to the range of stabilization instruments used in Africa, from stabilization clauses in long-term agreements, to legislative guarantees (such as Nigeria's law applicable to an LNG project) or presenting a negotiated contract to the legislature for adoption as a *lex specialis* (as has been used in Egypt for many decades). However, in his conclusion, the author poses the question of whether these varied stabilization instruments are effective, and then points to the fact that many disputes in Africa reach a settlement which benefits both host states and investors in two ways: (i) the commercial relationship continues and (ii) provides for a commercial relationship satisfying to both parties. The author leaves us with the thoughtful view that Africa is the region least prone to nationalistic ideology of any of the other regions discussed in his book, and that African states are the most effective at managing disputes with investors in a pragmatic manner.

C. Part III.

Part III examines two important areas relevant to the stability of investments: the prospect and practice of damage awards in the event of a breach of state assurances, and the enforcement of arbitral awards, before concluding with an overall assessment and a look ahead. The question addressed is whether the various steps taken in pursuit of stability have in fact led to an improvement in the investors' ability to



mitigate risk: for example, by smoothing out the cycles that generate investor vulnerability, while protecting state interests. If so, have they done so at the price of harming the sense of mutual benefit that states and investors need to have about the operation of the international investment regime? Have they depoliticized the legal relationship between the investor and the host state, or failed in this objective?

1. Chapter 10. The Limits to Investment Stability: Environmental and Human Rights Issues.

Chapter 10 discusses the challenges to long-term stability of investments in energy and natural resources caused by actions stemming from exercise of the host states' powers in climate change policies, environmental and social sustainability, and human rights, bolstered by the adoption of the UN Sustainable Development Goals and this Paris Agreement on Climate Change.¹¹

This chapter examines the ways in which these two sets of issues, environmental and climate change, and human rights, have been accommodated within the framework of international energy investment law to deliver legal stability. The author notes that both sets of issues provided a basis for which States can raise defenses for a breach of obligations to investors with a view to limiting or precluding their liability.

For example, the author examines the inclusion of environmental provisions in BITs or international investment treaties obligating contracting parties to enforce their environmental laws, such as the US Model BIT (2021),¹² the DR-CAFTA (2004),¹³ and in NAFTA (1994).¹⁴ Specifically, the DR-CAFTA obligates its member states not to fail to effectively enforce their environmental laws 'in a manner affecting trade between the Parties'.

The author discusses the different types of claims that can be brought (both by or

¹¹ Paris Agreement to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, Dec. 12, 2015, T.I.A.S. No. 16-1104.

¹² US Model BIT (2021), *available at* <https://trade.gov>.

¹³ The Dominican Republic - Central America Free Trade Agreement, May 28, 2004, 43 I.L.M. 514 (2004), *available at* <http://www.ustr.gov/TradeAgreements/Bilateral/CAFTA/CAFTA-DRFinalTexts/SectionIndex.html>.

¹⁴ North American Free Trade Agreement, *supra* note 6.



against the state or investor) under these environmental provisions. The author notes that these provisions also attract a much wider involvement of actors than is usual in investor–state proceedings, creating a complex set of dynamics. One example examined by the author is the engagement of local communities and indigenous peoples, very evident in hydrocarbons and mining disputes in Latin America. Another example is the *Chevron and TexPet v. Ecuador* case¹⁵ involving a dispute between two US oil companies and the State of Ecuador over the costs of cleaning up an area damaged by hydrocarbons operations, which first arose as a lawsuit against Chevron filed by several indigenous communities.

The Chapter next discusses policies that contemplate a transition away from fossil fuels and their implications not only for future investments but for existing, late-life hydrocarbons projects. The author predicts that change -of-law issues seem likely to arise in some parts of the world when hydrocarbons structures are decommissioned, as well as an increase in treaty-based disputes related to decommissioning.

The Chapter next discusses human rights and the expansion of legal interest in controls over business in the past decade, and how stabilization clauses in long-term contracts have been amended in recent years so as not to curtail a host states' ability to act in order to protect human rights. The author believes that emerging generations of BITs will impose obligations related to human rights on investors and points to earlier arbitrations involving Argentina—such as *Vivendi v. Argentina*¹⁶ and *Urbaser v. Argentina*¹⁷—in which Argentina used, as a defense, its obligation to ensure that the right to water was not undermined by third parties. Though the objection in *Urbaser* was ultimately unsuccessful, the author observes that the award may be indicative of future trends, as: (1) the tribunal referred to several international declarations and resolutions to support its view that international law 'accepts

¹⁵ *Chevron Corp. & Texaco Petroleum Corp. v. Ecuador (II)*, PCA Case No. 2009-23.

¹⁶ *Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija S.A. & Vivendi Universal S.A. v. Argentina*, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3.

¹⁷ *Urbaser S.A. & Consorcio de Aguas Bilbao Bizkaia, Bilbao Biskaia Ur Partzuergoa v. Argentina*, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/26.



corporate social responsibility as a standard of crucial importance for companies operating in the field of international commerce’, including the commitment to comply with human rights; and (2) the tribunal explained that treaties must be interpreted according to Article 31(3)(c) of the Vienna Convention (account must be taken of ‘any relevant rules of international law applicable in the relations between the parties’).¹⁸ Accordingly, the author concludes that tribunals may give effect to or take account of international standards on the protection of human rights by means of treaty interpretation.

2. Chapter 11. Damages and Enforcement of Awards.

The first part of this chapter gives a broad overview of the principles that are typically applied to the award of damages in energy investment cases and the main approaches adopted by tribunals to give a value to an energy investment. The author uses case studies to consider how these approaches have been applied in selected energy disputes. The author observes that tribunals in energy have displayed a marked tendency to rely heavily on testimony from experts in arbitral proceedings. The second part of this Chapter considers the ways in which an arbitral award in an energy investment dispute is enforced. Finally, the author examines the role of settlement agreements in energy investment arbitrations.

3. Chapter 12. States, Investors, and Energy Agreements

This Chapter returns to and builds upon the six features of energy investments and the two contextual factors influencing energy investments identified in Chapter 1, based upon the insights gained from the chapters of this book: the framework chapters (Chapters 2, 3, 4, and 5); the case studies (Chapters 7, 8, and 9); the carve-outs (Chapter 10) and the group of ‘final’ issues, damages, enforcement, and settlement (Chapter 11). In particular, the author explores the forms that legal stability takes in the international energy takes given the inevitability of changing circumstances over the life of long-term contracts, and how such forms of legal stability manage the relationship between the host state and the investor.

¹⁸ Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, May 23, 1969, Art. 31(4), 1155 U.N.T.S. 331.



III. CONCLUSIONS

Professor Cameron provides a thorough and thoughtful overview of the different and widely varying aspects of international energy investment law. In particular, the book's careful attention to historical and current trends provides an indication of future areas for the expansion of energy investments, and predicts how investment disputes may shift correspondingly, making the book a worthwhile and enjoyable read for any practitioner. It would also be an engaging and informative read for a law student, or anyone interested in the historical roots of energy investments generally, and the evolution of energy investment law specifically. In sum, this well-written book gives a broad but nuanced view of energy investment law.



ELIZABETH J. DYE is an Associate in the Construction Counseling and Dispute Resolution group at Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman, LLP in Houston, TX. She focuses her practice on complex commercial litigation and international arbitration, with particular experience in construction and infrastructure disputes. Elizabeth has significant experience in litigation, arbitration, and international arbitration. She has represented and counseled clients involved in ICC, JAMS, AAA, and ICSID, as well as before Texas courts. Her experience in complex commercial litigation includes breach of contract and breach joint venture disputes and insurance coverage disputes on behalf of policyholders.



INSTITUTE FOR TRANSNATIONAL ARBITRATION

of

THE CENTER FOR AMERICAN AND INTERNATIONAL LAW

The Institute for Transnational Arbitration (ITA) provides advanced, continuing education for lawyers, judges and other professionals concerned with transnational arbitration of commercial and investment disputes. Through its programs, scholarly publications and membership activities, ITA has become an important global forum on contemporary issues in the field of transnational arbitration. The Institute's record of educational achievements has been aided by the support of many of the world's leading companies, lawyers and arbitration professionals. Membership in the Institute for Transnational Arbitration is available to corporations, law firms, professional and educational organizations, government agencies and individuals.

MISSION

Founded in 1986 as a division of **The Center for American and International Law**, the Institute was created to promote global adherence to the world's principal arbitration treaties and to educate business executives, government officials and lawyers about arbitration as a means of resolving transnational business disputes.

WHY BECOME A MEMBER?

Membership dues are more than compensated both financially and professionally by the benefits of membership. Depending on the level of membership, **ITA Members** may designate one or multiple representatives on the Institute's **Advisory Board**, each of whom is entitled to attend, without charge, the ITA Annual Meeting and Workshop in Dallas or the annual Americas Workshop in Latin America. Advisory Board members also receive a substantial tuition discount at all other ITA programs.

Advisory Board members also have the opportunity to participate in the Institute's leadership, professional initiatives, practice committees and a variety of other free professional and social membership activities throughout the year. Advisory Board members also receive a free subscription to ITA's e-journal *ITA in Review*, newsletter *News and Notes*, and all ITA video and audio online educational products. Your membership and participation support the activities of one of the world's leading forums on international arbitration today.

THE ADVISORY BOARD

The work of the Institute is done primarily through its Advisory Board, and its committees. The current practice committees of the ITA are the **Americas Initiative Committee** (comprised of Advisory Board members practicing or interested in Latin America), the **Young ITA Committee** (comprised of Young ITA Members and Advisory Board members under 40 years old) and the **In-House Counsel Committee**. The **Annual Meeting** of the Advisory Board and its committees occurs each June in connection with the annual ITA Workshop, including a variety of social activities and the **ITA Forum**, a candid off-the-record discussion among peers on current issues and concerns in the field. Other committee activities occur in connection with the Americas Workshop and throughout the year.

PROGRAMS

The primary public program of the Institute is its annual **ITA Workshop**, presented each year on the third Thursday in June in connection with the ITA Annual Meeting. Other annual programs include the **ITA-ASIL Conference** in Washington, D.C., the **ITA-IEL-ICC Joint Conference on International Energy Arbitration** in Houston, and the **ITA Americas Workshop** customarily in Latin America. ITA conferences customarily include a **Young Lawyers Roundtable** organized by Young ITA, which also presents a variety of **#YoungITATalks** events in cities around the world throughout the year. For a complete calendar of ITA programs, please visit our website at www.cailaw.org/ita.

PUBLICATIONS

ITA is a founding sponsor of **KluwerArbitration.com**, the most comprehensive, up-to-date portal for international arbitration resources online. The **ITA Arbitration Report**, a free email subscription service available at *KluwerArbitration.com* and prepared by the **ITA Board of Reporters**, delivers timely reports on awards, cases, legislation and other current developments from over 60 countries, organized by country, together with reports on new treaty ratifications, new publications and upcoming events around the globe. All ITA members receive a free subscription to **ITA in Review**, an e-journal edited by its **Board of Editors**. The Institute's acclaimed **Scoreboard of Adherence to Transnational Arbitration Treaties**, a comprehensive report on the status of every country's adherence to the primary international arbitration treaties, is published on ITA's website and in its quarterly newsletter, **News and Notes**. The **Online Education Library** on the Institute's website presents a variety of educational videos, mock arbitrations, recorded webinars, oral history interviews and books, many of them produced by the **Academic Council** for the benefit of professors, students and practitioners of international arbitration. **ITAFOR** (the ITA Latin American Arbitration Forum), a listserv launched in 2014 is the leading online forum on international arbitration in Latin America. International dispute resolution instructors are welcome to explore the course curricula and other pedagogical materials shared by leading professors on the website's **Legal Educators Resources Collection** and to participate in the accompanying **ITA-LEL listserv**. Young ITA members receive the **Young ITA Newsletter**.

Please join us. For more information, visit ITA online at www.cailaw.org/ita.

INSTITUTE FOR TRANSNATIONAL ARBITRATION

2022 Annual Membership Dues and Benefits

Sustaining Membership – \$6,000

(open to corporations, firms, and other organizations)

- Eight Advisory Board representatives (two under 40) with all **Advisory Board Member benefits** described below
- The right to designate an unlimited number of additional Advisory Board representatives for \$500 each
- The right to designate up to four additional Advisory Board members under 40 for \$300 each
- All employees entitled to member discount at ITA Programs
- Recognition as a *Sustaining Member* in publications

Supporting Membership – \$3,000

(open to corporations, firms, and other organizations)

- Four Advisory Board representatives (one under 40) with all **Advisory Board Member benefits** described below
- The right to designate an unlimited number of additional Advisory Board representatives for \$600 each
- The right to designate up to two additional Advisory Board members under 40 for \$300 each
- All employees entitled to member discount at ITA Programs
- Recognition as a *Supporting Member* in publications

Sponsoring Membership – \$1,250

(open to individuals, corporations, firms, and other organizations)

- One Advisory Board representative with all **Advisory Board Member benefits** described below
- Recognition as a *Sponsoring Member* in publications

Associate Membership – \$725

(open to individuals)

- Membership on the Institute Advisory Board, with all **Advisory Board Member benefits** described below
- The opportunity to use the Center's facilities in Plano for education-related activities
- Recognition as an *Associate Member* in publications

Academic / Government / Non-Profit Membership - \$395

(open to universities, government agencies, judicial and arbitral institutions, non-profit corporations and full-time employees or students of such organizations)

- One Advisory Board representative with all **Advisory Board Member benefits** described below
- Recognition as an *Academic/Government/Non-Profit Member* in publications

Arbitral Institution Membership – Invitation Only

(open by invitation only to select Arbitral Institutions with significant international caseloads)

- One Advisory Board representative with all **Advisory Board Member benefits** described below
- An opportunity to co-sponsor the annual Americas Workshop in Latin America
- Recognition as an *Arbitral Institution Member* in publications

Young ITA Membership - \$0

(open to individuals under 40)

- Free membership in ITA as a *Young ITA Member* (does not include membership on the Advisory Board)
- Free attendance at Young ITA programs and meetings and the annual ITA Forum in Dallas
- Young ITA member discount at the annual ITA Workshop and all other ITA programs, publications and online educational products
- Opportunity to serve in the Young ITA leadership
- Opportunity to participate in Young ITA online fora
- Free subscription to the ITA e-journal *ITA in Review* and e-newsletter *News & Notes*
- Recognition as a *Young ITA Member* in publications

Advisory Board Member Benefits

- Free attendance at the ITA Annual Meeting and Workshop OR the Annual Americas Workshop
- Free attendance at the members-only ITA Forum
- Member discount at all other ITA programs
- Free subscription to all ITA video and audio online educational products
- Free subscription to ITA's e-journal *ITA in Review* and quarterly newsletter *News and Notes*, with its *Scoreboard of Adherence to Transnational Arbitration Treaties*
- Opportunity to participate in the committees, leadership and other activities of the Advisory Board
- Recognition as an Advisory Board member in publications
- If qualified, the right to appear on the IEL Energy Arbitrators List



Table of Contents

ARTICLES

DETERMINING QUESTIONS OF ARBITRABILITY:
WHERE DO WE STAND AFTER SCHEIN? *William W. Russell*

PARTY AUTONOMY AND ARBITRATOR'S LACK OF
REASONING BRING DOWN SUBWAY AWARD *Sean C. Sheely
Arantxa Cuadrado*

THE SULTAN OF SULU AWARD:
IS IT ENFORCEABLE IN THE US UNDER THE NEW
YORK CONVENTION? *Gary J. Shaw &
Rafael T. Boza*

BOOK REVIEW

INTERNATIONAL ENERGY INVESTMENT LAW:
THE PURSUIT OF STABILITY (2ND ED.)
BY PETER CAMERON *Elizabeth J. Dye*

ITA CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS

ETHICALLY CHALLENGED? A COMMENTARY *Francis Ojok*

"BODYGUARDS" OR "POLICE," WHO CAN ESCORT
US TO A MORE SUSTAINABLE FUTURE? *Lawrence (Yichu) Yuan*

THE SALEM TRIALS REDUX? PERU & ARBITRATOR'S MISCONDUCT:
A COMMENTARY ON THE FERNANDO CANTUARIAS CASE *Paula J. Tellez*

REFLECTIONS FROM THE "PRESERVING PERSPECTIVE PROJECT"
INTERVIEW WITH JUDGE GABRIELLE KIRK MCDONALD *Jessica Sblendorio*

YOUNG ITA

"DA MIHI FACTUM, DABO TIBI IUS"
FACT FINDING AND IURA NOVIT CURIA IN ARBITRATION:
HOW FAR DO ARBITRATORS' POWERS REACH? *Viktor Előd Cserép*

AND MORE.

www.itainreview.com

The Institute for Transnational Arbitration
A Division of The Center for American and International Law

5201 Democracy Drive
Plano, Texas, 75024-3561
USA