

# Nucleonics Week

Volume 64 / Issue 16 / April 19, 2023

## Effort to move away from Russian nuclear fuel started by nations at G7 meeting

- Joint efforts result of Ukraine invasion, climate issues
- Agreement signed during G7 energy summit in Japan
- Initiative covers mining, conversion, enrichment, fabrication

Canada, France, Japan, the UK and the US April 16 signed a cooperation agreement to work together to support the stable supply of nuclear fuel and to reduce dependence on Russian supplies for fuel fabrication and services, the governments of the countries said in a statement April 16.

The agreement, which was announced during the G7 Energy Ministers summit meeting in Sapporo, Japan, was a consequence of Russia's Feb. 24, 2022, invasion of Ukraine, the countries said. The G7 meeting took place April 15 and 16.

Russia's "unprovoked and unjustifiable war against Ukraine and the increasing impacts of climate change have fundamentally altered the global energy landscape and accelerated the need for collaboration between like-minded allies" the five countries said.

During the June 2022 G7 meeting in the UK, the leaders of the five countries had "made clear our collective intent to reduce reliance on civil nuclear and related goods from Russia, including

[\(continued on page 6\)](#)

## Dutch expert report on 2050 energy scenario casts doubts on nuclear role

- Dutch grid may be largely decarbonized before new units added
- Grid issues may arise if new units are built at Borssele

Dutch preparations for construction of two large nuclear reactors will proceed, the Ministry for Climate and Energy has said, in spite of an expert report commissioned by the ministry highlighting a series of questions undermining the case for nuclear power and urging the government to "take no irreversible steps" in the construction of new units in the country until these questions are resolved.

The "Outlook for the Energy System in 2050" report was presented April 12 to Dutch Minister for Climate and Energy, Rob Jetten, almost a year after he had created the group of independent experts to recommend energy sector measures required to help the Netherlands achieve climate neutrality by 2050. The experts' report will be used to help the ministry draft a long-term energy strategy to 2050, which is expected to be ready by the end of 2023.

[\(continued on page 7\)](#)

## Nuclear utilities seek to increase capacity, support hydrogen production

- Interest in power uprates a marked turnaround, lawyer says
- Constellation stepping up spending on uprates, nuclear fuel

Half of the existing nuclear reactor fleet in the US is considering capacity increases to take advantage of clean energy tax credits, while several nuclear power plant owners are working on hydrogen production demonstration projects to aid the clean energy transition, a panel of nuclear industry speakers said April 14.

Xcel Energy's Prairie Island nuclear plant in Minnesota, Constellation Energy's Nine Mile Point in New York, Arizona Public Service's Palo Verde plant in Arizona and Energy Harbor's Davis Besse in Ohio are among the nuclear stations working on hydrogen production demonstration projects, said Tim Walsh, partner at law firm Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman.

Xcel received a grant for the hydrogen production pilot project and is working with the US Department of Energy's Idaho National Lab on the project, noted Amanda Jepson, manager of nuclear strategy and regulatory policy at Xcel. Xcel, which has two nuclear power plants in Minnesota, is also working on long-duration energy storage and adding solar power on the grounds at the Sherco coal-fired power plant in the state, Jepson said April 14 at an online forum held by the Midwest chapter of the Energy Bar Association.

Xcel's plan to have a carbon-free energy mix by 2050 includes renewable resources and nuclear assets, as the company is seeking a second extension of the operating license for the Monticello nuclear plant while evaluating a possible extension at the two-unit Prairie Island plant, Jepson said.

The renewed interest in boosting the capacity at existing

### Inside this issue

Recycled spent fuel could power Europe for 1,000 years: NGO report.....	3
Anti-nuclear group sues PG&E to force Diablo Canyon retirement as agreed .....	4
Rising nuclear capacity will lead to increased global uranium demand: 'Red Book'...	4
Total US nuclear shutdown would increase air pollution mortality: study .....	5
Nuclear generation to be 9% of India's power mix by 2047: Indian government.....	6
Notes to Nucleonics Week generating tables for February 2023 .....	9

reactors through applications at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission marks “a drastic turnaround from a few years ago,” when nuclear plant owners were shutting down or considering shutdowns due to economic losses and competition from cheaper generation resources, Walsh said. “We believe the retirements have essentially stopped for the time being,” mainly because tax credit provisions in the Inflation Reduction Act specific to keeping current nuclear generation units operating are estimated at \$30 billion before being phased out, Walsh said.

Indicative of the turnaround is Constellation’s February announcement that it plans to spend \$800 million on new equipment and turbine upgrades at its Braidwood and Byron nuclear plants in Illinois, which not that long ago looked like troubled assets slated for possible shutdown, Walsh noted.

Many capacity increases sought for regulatory approval are quite small; however, Constellation is planning a 135-MW jump in combined output at Braidwood and Byron, Walsh said.

Xcel’s nuclear units have been operating at a capacity factor above 96%, at an average cost of less than \$26/MWh, keeping power costs affordable for utility customers, Jepson said. The company has reduced operating costs at the two plants by more than 30 percent since 2015, Jepson added.

With coal plant retirements occurring with increased frequency and the development of small modular reactors showing promise, “it’s a different world out there” for nuclear generation in the future, said Anne Leidich, partner at Pillsbury Winthrop. With clean electricity production tax credits geared toward “first movers” in adding new reactors between 2025 and

2032, progress on SMRs is taking place “in a manner I didn’t expect to happen,” Leidich said.

### Additional credits for siting at retired coal plants

There are bonus tax credits for reactors to be sited at retired coal plants or brownfield sites, which could enable SMRs to be operated as “load following” units used to match output with fluctuating electricity demand, Leidich said. Such operating characteristics are not feasible with the bigger, older reactors designed to operate at full capacity on a continual basis to recover costs, Leidich said.

The increase in nuclear fuel costs since Russia’s invasion of Ukraine and reduced reliance on Russian uranium supplies has been substantial, Walsh and Leidich noted. Constellation has testified before Congress, which is considering legislation to boost domestic mining and uranium supply capabilities, that it will increase spending on nuclear fuel by almost 40 percent, Walsh said. The company, which owns more nuclear reactors than any company in the US, paid \$850 million for nuclear fuel in 2022 and expects that figure to reach \$1.17 billion in 2023, Walsh said.

The development of advanced reactors and plans to produce high-assay, low-enriched uranium domestically hold promise for nuclear units to support the clean energy transition, the speakers noted. HALEU is uranium enriched to more than 5% but less than 20% U-235 and is proposed to be used by most advanced reactor developers. The hope is that new reactor designs and supplies of HALEU will enable nuclear units to run for longer periods before refueling, Leidich said.

— Tom Tiernan

## Platts

S&P Global

Commodity Insights

## Nucleonics Week

ISSN: 0048-105X

**Contact Client Services:** support@platts.com;  
Americas: +1-800-752-8878; Europe & Middle East: +44-20-7176-6111; Asia Pacific: +65-6530-64300

### Senior Managing Editor

William Freebairn (william.freebairn@spglobal.com)

### Managing Editor

Steven Dolley (steven.dolley@spglobal.com)

### Senior Editor

Andrea Jennetta (andrea.jennetta@spglobal.com)

### Editor

Mary Catherine Hancock (marycatherine.hancock@spglobal.com)

### European Managing Editor

Oliver Adelman (oliver.adelman@spglobal.com)

**Contact the editors:** nuclear@spglobal.com

### Global Head of Generating Fuels & Electric Power Pricing

Francis Browne

### President of S&P Global Commodity Insights

Saugata Saha

### Advertising

Tel: +1-720-264-6618

### Manager, Advertisement Sales

Bob Botelho

*Nucleonics Week* is published 51 times yearly by S&P Global Commodity Insights, a division of S&P Global, registered office: 55 Water Street, 37th Floor, New York, N.Y. 10038.

Officers of the Corporation: Richard E. Thornburgh, Non-Executive Chairman; Doug Peterson, President and Chief Executive Officer; Ewout Steenberg, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer; Steve Kemps, Executive Vice President, General Counsel

© 2023 by S&P Global Inc. All rights reserved.

S&P Global, the S&P Global logo, S&P Global Commodity Insights, and Platts are trademarks of S&P Global Inc. Permission for any commercial use of these trademarks must be obtained in writing from S&P Global Inc.

You may view or otherwise use the information, prices, indices, assessments and other related information, graphs, tables and images (“Data”) in this publication only for your personal use or, if you or your company has a license for the Data from S&P Global Commodity Insights and you are an authorized user, for your company’s internal business use only. You may not publish, reproduce, extract, distribute, retransmit, resell, create any derivative work from and/or otherwise provide access to the Data or any portion thereof to any person (either within or outside your company, including as part of or via any internal electronic system or intranet), firm or entity, including any subsidiary, parent, or other entity that is affiliated with your company, without S&P Global Commodity Insights’ prior written consent or as otherwise authorized under license from S&P Global Commodity Insights. Any use or distribution of the Data beyond the express uses authorized in this paragraph above is subject to the payment of additional fees to S&P Global Commodity Insights.

S&P Global Commodity Insights, its affiliates and all of their third-party licensors disclaim any and all warranties, express or implied, including, but not limited to, any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose or use as to

the Data, or the results obtained by its use or as to the performance thereof. Data in this publication includes independent and verifiable data collected from actual market participants. Any user of the Data should not rely on any information and/or assessment contained therein in making any investment, trading, risk management or other decision. S&P Global Commodity Insights, its affiliates and their third-party licensors do not guarantee the adequacy, accuracy, timeliness and/or completeness of the Data or any component thereof or any communications (whether written, oral, electronic or in other format), and shall not be subject to any damages or liability, including but not limited to any indirect, special, incidental, punitive or consequential damages (including but not limited to, loss of profits, trading losses and loss of goodwill).

ICE index data and NYMEX futures data used herein are provided under S&P Global Commodity Insights’ commercial licensing agreements with ICE and with NYMEX. You acknowledge that the ICE index data and NYMEX futures data herein are confidential and are proprietary trade secrets and data of ICE and NYMEX or its licensors/suppliers, and you shall use best efforts to prevent the unauthorized publication, disclosure or copying of the ICE index data and/or NYMEX futures data.

Permission is granted for those registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) to copy material herein for internal reference or personal use only, provided that appropriate payment is made to the CCC, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, phone +1-978-750-8400. Reproduction in any other form, or for any other purpose, is forbidden without the express prior permission of S&P Global Inc. For article reprints contact: The YGS Group, phone +1-717-505-9701 x105 (800-501-9571 from the U.S.).

For all other queries or requests pursuant to this notice, please contact S&P Global Inc. via email at support@platts.com.